

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,517 02/26/2004		02/26/2004	Bo Gu	GSIL 0191 PUSP	6454
22045	7590	06/29/2005	•	EXAM	INER
BROOKS			EVANS, GEOFFREY S		
1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075				1725	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	m. –				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/787,517	GU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Geoffrey S Evans	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly by within the statutory minimum of thirty I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI te, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	on algetian manuinament				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	Adminer. Note the attached	Office Action of form 7 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
, , ;	its have been received				
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea		-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/	/Mail Date´. ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20041216.	6) Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050316			

Application/Control Number: 10/787,517 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4,6-11,14,15,16,17,18,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0003130, published 10 January 2002. Sun et al. discloses laser pulses of less than 100 femtoseconds (see paragraph 28), and that the interaction between the laser pulse and the link is not thermal (see paragraph 15), that the link width can be less than 1.33 microns (see paragraph 3), focusing the laser beam (see paragraph 29), using mirrors (122,124,126,128) to relatively position the beam to the target, and the workpiece is made of silicon. Regarding claims 4 and 10, Sun et al. further discloses using a wavelength that can be greater than one micron or less than 500 nm (see paragraph 37). Regarding claim 11, the passivation layer (e.g. element 44 shown in figure 2A) of Sun et al. is considered a sacrificial layer of material.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0003130 in view of

Application/Control Number: 10/787,517

Art Unit: 1725

Galvanauskas et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,208,458. Galvanauskas et al.. teaches that only a seed pulse with a fiber optic amplifier can extract the maximum available energies without nonlinear distortion of the ultrashort pulse (e.g. see column 2,lines 10-28). It would have been obvious to adapt Sun et al. in view of Galvanauskas et al. to provide this to can extract the maximum available energies without nonlinear distortion of the ultrashort pulse.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0003130 in view of Perry et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,621,040. Perry et al. teaches as shown in figure 5 using energy density greater than 2 Joules/cm² to ablate a metal conductor. It would have been obvious to adapt Sun et al. in view of Perry et al. to provide this to efficiently ablate conductors.
- 6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0003130 in view of Mourou et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,235,606. Mourou et al. teaches creating high power sultrashort pulses by using an oscillator, a stretcher, an optical amplifier, and a compressor. It would have been obvious to adapt Sun et al. in view of Mourou et al. to provide this to create high power ultrashort laser pulses.
- 7. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al. in U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0003130 in view of Mourou et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,235,606 as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Galvanauskas et al. in U.S. Patent No. 6,208,458. Galvanauskas et al.. teaches that

Art Unit: 1725

only a seed pulse with a fiber optic amplifier can extract the maximum available energies without nonlinear distortion of the ultrashort pulse (e.g. see column 2,lines 10-28). It would have been obvious to adapt Sun et al. in view of Mourou et al.(606) and Galvanauskas et al. to provide this to can extract the maximum available energies without nonlinear distortion of the ultrashort pulse.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S Evans whose telephone number is (571)-272-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30AM to 4:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571)-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-872-9306.

GSE

Geofffey S. Evans
Primary Examiner
Group 1700